Crie seu site com o WordPress.com
Comece agora

~ 12 de julho de 2021 • William Ariel Mounter ~

In Defense of Antiscience

Privacidade e cookies: Esse site utiliza cookies. Ao continuar a usar este site, você concorda com seu uso.
Para saber mais, inclusive sobre como controlar os cookies, consulte aqui: Política de cookies
Fechar e aceitar

Nowadays, we see a high growth in scientific dissemination, which in practice is one more way of promoting scientism, neo-positivism, materialism, physicalism, neo-atheism and the like. Where everything that goes against what they promote or what is against them is “antiscience”, “science denial”, “pseudoscience”, “quackery”, “delusion”, “psychosis”, “mental illness”, “false ” between others. And we see that there is a very large target in religious, spiritual, esoteric, occult and extraphysical issues, having put all these issues in some of the categories they mention and even invalidate them, even though some of them deny that this happens, it is already quite clear that this is already something very recurrent and is completely normalizing, where everything that does not have “scientific evidence” or everything that cannot be determined by the scientific method or in a natural way, is antiscience”, “scientific negationism” , “pseudoscience”, “quackery”, “delusion”, “psychosis”, “mental illness” and “false”.

So, this leads to several issues, such as the issue of combating neopositivism, the issue of combating neoatheism (mainly psychoatheism and neuroatheism), materialism, physicalism, scientism and the like. But also, this leads to another very important issue that they cite all the time, which is the issue of “antiscience” and “scientific negationism”, so is it okay to be antiscience and a scientific negationist?

For many, or at least a large part of Google’s results, both in Portuguese and in English and perhaps for other languages, the answer is “no”, but actually, it’s not quite like that. Being antiscience and science denier, in this case mentioned in this article, is something that is possible and even necessary, as long as you understand epistemology, philosophy, spirituality and know how to question and criticize science, materialism, physicalism, skepticism , positivism, neopositivism, neoatheism, atheism among others. Being antiscience, or at least a critic of science, requires that you have enough epistemological, philosophical, spiritual and even religious, esoteric and social knowledge to know how to criticize science and know how to be antiscience and know how to be a scientific negationist.

We also need to remember that terms such as “antiscience”, “science denial”, “pseudoscience” and “quackery” when used by scientific popularizers, who are basically more for promoters of neopositivism and neoatheism, are more like ways of silencing criticism and positions contrary to anything else, they are people who do not accept questioning or criticism of science, neopositivism, materialism, physicalism etc. and also think that science is something that becomes absolute and cannot be questioned or criticized, and that becomes worse than the religious fundamentalism and religious fanaticism they criticize so much.

Of course there are types of antiscience and scientific denial that have no philosophical, epistemological or spiritual basis at all, such as the case of Flat Earth, Climate Denial and Anti-Vaccine, but comparing spiritual, religious, esoteric, occult and extraphysical things that can only be determined through epistemological pluralism and that cannot be studied in a highly rigorous, repeatable, double-blind, peer-reviewed manner and still be compatible with materialism with things that the natural sciences can already determine and that are in the area of the natural sciences , it is not something that is hypocritical but even fallacious in this case, wanting to put spiritual, religious, esoteric, occult and spiritual things on the same level as things like flat earth, climate denial and anti-vaccine is a proof of how materialists and neopositivists really want to impose materialism and neopositivism on everyone and they don’t accept that Spiritual, religious, esoteric, occult and extraphysical researches need their own methodology to be studied and that are a different domain than the natural sciences.

With that, we see that being antiscience is something much more complicated than it can be, of course we can mention the philosophical and epistemological part of antiscience, as the opposition to reductionism, materialism, other interpretations of reality among others, but the focus here is in the spiritual, religious and esoteric question. So, we can see that it’s okay to be called antiscience or even to be called a scientific negationist and other terms cited by them, as long as you know how to be antiscience and have the necessary knowledge for it, it’s okay to be antiscience and even science denier.

Compartilhe isso:
Twitter Facebook

Relacionado

Neopositivism at the Service of Capitalism and Neoliberalism
12 de julho de 2021
Em "Sem categoria"

Atheist Fanaticism and Scientific Fanaticism
20 de maio de 2021
Em "Sem categoria"

In Defense of the Young Mystic
14 de maio de 2021
Em "Sem categoria"

Publicado por William Ariel Mounter
Ver todos os posts por William Ariel Mounter

Sem categoria

Anterior
Em Defesa da Anticiência

Próximo
Por Um Ensino Revolucionário

Pesquisar ...

Traduzir
Selecione o idioma
Powered by Google Tradutor